

Superconductivity in the presence of antiferromagnetism for high T_c cuprates

Ki-Seok Kim, Sung-Sik Lee, Jae-Hyeon Eom and Sung-Ho Suck Salk¹

Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology Pohang 790-784, Korea

¹*Korea Institute of Advanced Studies, Seoul 130-012, Korea*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Using the U(1) holon pair slave boson theory [Phys. Rev. B **64**, 052501 (2001)], we derive a low energy field theory of dealing with both d -wave superconductivity and antiferromagnetism for underdoped cuprates by constructing both the Cooper pair field and the chargeon pair field. In terms of the internal gauge field, the Cooper pair field carries no internal charge while the chargeon pair field carries the internal charge. They are decoupled in the low energy limit. This allows us to separately treat the XY model of the Cooper pair field to describe superconductivity and the Abelian Higgs model of the chargeon pair field to describe antiferromagnetism in the presence of Dirac fermions at and near the d -wave nodal points. Thus we find that the d -wave superconductivity can coexist with antiferromagnetism and that despite the coexistence, the antiferromagnetism can not affect the superconducting transition, thus allowing the XY universality class in the extreme type II limit.

PACS numbers: PACS numbers: 73.43.Nq, 74.20.-z, 11.30.Rd

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent experiments revealed anomalous weak antiferromagnetism in underdoped superconducting state[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The coexistence of d -wave superconductivity and antiferromagnetism in underdoped cuprates has been examined by various approaches (such as SO(5)[6], stripes[7, 8, 9], QED3[10], Z_2 gauge theory[11], SU(2) slave-boson theory[12], mean field theories[13, 14] and etc.[15, 16, 17]). Thus it is of great interest to answer whether the coexistence affects the superconductivity.

In the context of U(1) slave boson theory Kim and Lee[18] introduced the low energy Lagrangian in terms of the massless Dirac spinon field ψ_l and the massless U(1) gauge field a_μ at half filling,

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu) \psi_l.$$

They showed that the $1/N$ perturbative treatment leads to a dynamical mass generation (chiral symmetry breaking[19]) corresponding to an antiferromagnetic ordering[18]. The above Lagrangian for a hole doped system near half filling can be rewritten,

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu) \psi_l + \frac{K_b}{2} |\partial_\mu \phi_b - a_\mu - A_\mu|^2.$$

Here K_b is the phase stiffness proportional to hole concentration near half filling. ϕ_b is the phase field of the holon, a_μ , the internal U(1) gauge field and A_μ , the electromagnetic field. Based on this Lagrangian one can show that in the dual field representation instantons ($(2+1)D$ U(1) magnetic monopoles) cause the single holon vortex condensation[20], thus implying phase incoherence of the holon field. By integrating over the holon field in the incoherent phase and redefining $a_\mu + A_\mu$ as a'_μ , one obtains the low energy effective Lagrangian[21],

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a'_\mu + i A_\mu) \psi_l + \frac{1}{2g} |\partial \times a'|^2,$$

where $\bar{g}$ is the coupling strength, $\bar{g} = 2|<\Phi_b>|^2$ with $|<\Phi_b>|$, the amplitude of the holon vortex field. This Lagrangian is a QED Lagrangian in $(2+1)$ dimension. It is well known that chiral symmetry breaking occurs for any nonzero coupling strength $\bar{g}$ as long as the flavor number of fermions is less than a critical flavor number N_c ($N_c = \frac{32}{\pi^2}$)[22]. Thus the antiferromagnetic ordering at half filling is sustained near half filling.

In this paper we examine the superconducting phase transition in the low energy limit in the underdoped region. Earlier, the U(1) and SU(2) holon-pair boson theory of Lee and Salk[23] revealed the salient features of the arch-shaped superconducting transition temperature in the phase diagram of high T_c cuprates and the peak-dip-hump structure of optical conductivity in agreement with observations. It is of interest to see whether the U(1) holon-pair boson theory of Lee and Salk[23] reveals the coexistence of antiferromagnetism (AF) with d -wave superconductivity (SC) and whether the antiferromagnetic order affects the d -wave superconducting phase transition as a consequence of the coexistence. In their U(1) holon-pair boson theory[23], the Cooper pair field is described as a composite field of the spinon pair and holon pair fields. Applying it to a low energy field theory, we first discuss how the coexistence between the d -wave SC and AF arises. We then investigate whether the superconducting transition at $T = 0$ leads to the XY universality class independent of the antiferromagnetic order. To facilitate this study composite chargeon pair and Cooper pair fields are introduced. To avoid confusion, we would like to point out that the chargeon pair field here is different from the chargeon field of the Z_2 gauge theory[24]. In our theory the internal U(1) gauge field strongly couples to both the holon (charge $+e$ and spin 0) and the spinon (charge 0 and spin $1/2$) and thus no physical separation between the holon (charge) and the spinon (spin) is allowed. As will be seen later our chargeon pair (which is a composite particle made of a

spinon pair and a holon pair) has the internal $U(1)$ charge $-4\tilde{e}$ with the external electromagnetic (EM) charge $-2e$. On the other hand, in the Z_2 gauge theory of Fisher and coworkers[24], the chargon (spinon) is introduced in a different way. Their chargon (spinon) is no longer the same as holon (spinon) in the $U(1)$ slave-boson theory. Instead the chargon (spinon) is constructed as a composite of a holon (spinon) and a half of the spinon pair phase field carrying no internal $U(1)$ gauge charge and, thus, is not coupled to the internal $U(1)$ gauge field (likewise their chargon pair carries no $U(1)$ charge). Instead the chargon (spinon) is minimally coupled only to the Z_2 gauge field. We also point out that our composite vortex fields constructed from the spinon pair and holon pair fields are physically different from those introduced by Balents et. al[25, 26] in the sense that their composite vortex fields are constructed from spin-up and spin-down vortices.

In our composite vortex field approach the Lagrangian (Eq.(10)) is divided into three sectors concerned with Cooper pair vortices, chargeon pair vortices, and coupling between the two vortices respectively. The key point of the present paper is that the Cooper pair and chargeon pair sectors become decoupled in the low energy limit despite the presence of the coupling between the two sectors. As a result, in the low energy limit the dual Lagrangian of the XY model describing superconductivity is decoupled with the dual Lagrangian which describes antiferromagnetism. From the decoupled Lagrangian, it is shown that the AF can coexist with the SC without affecting the superconducting transition. In our composite vortex field approach the underdoped superconducting phase is seen to accompany the condensation of the chargeon pair vortices in the absence of the condensation of the Cooper pair vortices (Eq.(10) and Eq.(11)). Owing to free chargeon pair vortices (leading to chargeon pair vortex condensation) the Berry gauge field (Eq.(5)) coupled to the Dirac fermion remains massless (Eq.(11)). Here the Berry gauge field is associated with the Aharonov-Bohm phase acquired by the spinon when it moves around a spinon pair vortex. Since the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ remains massless, the Lagrangian for the Dirac fermion and the Berry gauge field reduces to the QED Lagrangian in the low energy limit ($\mathcal{L}_{AF}$ in Eq.(12)). This Lagrangian is in the same form as the Lagrangian of Kim and Lee at half-filling[18]. The physical difference is that the internal $U(1)$ slave-boson gauge field a_μ at half-filling is replaced by the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ away from half-filling. The chiral symmetry breaking occurs even away from half-filling as the case of the half-filling. This leads to the antiferromagnetic order away from half-filling allowing the coexistence with the superconductivity. On the other hand, we find that the XY universality class of the $T = 0$ superconducting phase transition in the extreme type II limit is not affected by the presence of the antiferromagnetic order.

We would like to stress that our discussion on the problem of coexistence between the antiferromagnetism and the superconductivity differs from other theories[6, 10,

12] in which competition or interplay (correlation) between the antiferromagnetism and the d -wave superconductivity is emphasized. On the other hand, in our theory we find that the superconductivity is not affected by the antiferromagnetism, nor vice versa. Instead, the antiferromagnetism is shown to have correlation with the Dirac spinon field in association with the chargeon pair field, but not with the Cooper pair field. Using our composite Higgs field representation we describe the reason why the superconductivity is not affected by the presence of antiferromagnetic order in the underdoped region.

II. CONSTRUCTION OF THE LOW ENERGY EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY AND PHYSICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR d -wave SUPERCONDUCTIVITY AND ANTIFERROMAGNETISM

The d -wave superconducting state emerges from antiferromagnetic Mott insulating phase as a consequence of hole doping. At half filling the ground state shows an antiferromagnetic long-range order. As hole doping increases, the AF fluctuations may accompany the d -wave spin singlet pair excitations in the pseudogap (PG) phase. When the spin singlet pairs are available, doped holes prefer to be paired at adjacent sites since such configurations are energetically favored to allow for mutual hopping without bond breaking of the pairs. In the context of the slave boson theory an empty site can be regarded as a holon, i.e., an object of charge $+e$ and spin 0. In the PG phase the holon pairs remain phase-incoherent and thus they are the preformed pairs. When the preformed holon pairs are bose-condensed, d -wave superconductivity may arise as a result of forming the Cooper pair as a composite of the spinon pair of d -wave symmetry and the holon pair of s -wave symmetry. This picture is well addressed in the $U(1)$ slave boson Hamiltonian of Lee and Salk[23],

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{eff} &= H_0^{eff} + H_s^{eff} + H_b^{eff}, \\
H_0^{eff} &= \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[J_\delta \left(\frac{1}{2} |\Delta_{ji}^{sp}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\chi_{ji}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{J}{2} |\Delta_{ij}^{sp}|^2 \left(|\Delta_{ji}^{bp}|^2 + \delta^2 \right) \right], \\
H_s^{eff} &= -\frac{J_\delta}{4} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} \left[\chi_{ji}^* (f_{j\sigma}^\dagger f_{i\sigma}) + c.c. \right] - \sum_{i, \sigma} \mu_i^f (f_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{i\sigma}) \\
&\quad - \frac{J_\delta}{2} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[\Delta_{ji}^{sp*} (f_{j\uparrow} f_{i\downarrow} - f_{j\downarrow} f_{i\uparrow}) + c.c. \right], \\
H_b^{eff} &= -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[\chi_{ji}^* (b_j^\dagger b_i) + c.c. \right] - \sum_i \mu_i^b (b_i^\dagger b_i) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \frac{J}{2} |\Delta_{ij}^{sp}|^2 \left[\Delta_{ji}^{bp*} (b_i b_j) + c.c. \right]. \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $J_\delta = J(1 - \delta)^2$ is the doping (δ) dependent renormalized Heisenberg coupling strength. $\chi_{ji} = \langle f_{j\sigma}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} + \frac{4t}{J(1-\delta)^2} b_j^\dagger b_i \rangle = \chi_{ji}^s + \frac{4t}{J(1-\delta)^2} \chi_{ji}^b$ is the effective hopping order parameter in the uniform phase with $\chi_{ji}^s = \langle f_{j\sigma}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} \rangle$, that of the spinon, and $\chi_{ji}^b = \langle b_j^\dagger b_i \rangle$, that of the holon. $\Delta_{ji}^{sp} = \langle f_{j\uparrow} f_{i\downarrow} - f_{j\downarrow} f_{i\uparrow} \rangle$ is the d -wave spinon singlet pairing order parameter and $\Delta_{ji}^{bp} = \langle b_j b_i \rangle$, the s -wave holon pairing order parameter. The main physics is imposed by the above effective Hamiltonian (the last term, $-\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \frac{J}{2} |\Delta_{ij}^{sp}|^2 [\Delta_{ji}^{bp*} (b_i b_j) + c.c.]$ in Eq.(1)) which shows coupling between the spinon pairing and the holon pairing orders. Judging from the coupling term, we expect that the holon-pair bose condensation can emerge only in the presence of spinon pairing.

In order to construct a low energy effective Lagrangian from Eq.(1), we first pay attention to the spinon Hamiltonian. Considering the low energy excitations we take only the phase fluctuations of the spinon pairing order parameter. It is of note that the phase of the spinon pairing order parameter is coupled to the spinon in the pairing interaction term in Eq.(1), that is, $\pm \frac{J_\delta}{2} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} |\Delta_{ij}^{sp}| \left[e^{-i\phi_{ij}^{sp}} (f_{j\uparrow} f_{i\downarrow} - f_{j\downarrow} f_{i\uparrow}) + c.c. \right]$. Here ϕ_{ij}^{sp} ($|\Delta_{ij}^{sp}|$) is the phase (amplitude) of the spinon pairing order parameter. $+1$ (-1) for the phase factor is for ij link parallel to the $\hat{x}$ ($\hat{y}$) direction representing the d -wave pairing symmetry of the spinon pairing order parameter. In order to take care of the coupling between the vortex of the spinon pair and the spinon quasiparticle, we consider a singular gauge transformation[21, 27, 28] for the spinon fields, $\psi_{i\uparrow} = e^{-i\phi_{i\uparrow}} f_{i\uparrow}$ and $\psi_{i\downarrow} = e^{-i\phi_{i\downarrow}} f_{i\downarrow}$ with $\phi_{ij}^{sp} = \phi_{i\uparrow} + \phi_{j\downarrow} = \phi_{i\downarrow} + \phi_{j\uparrow}$. As a consequence, the two phase fields appear in the kinetic energy term for the renormalized spinon $\psi_{i\sigma}$; one as a Berry gauge field $a_{ij}^\psi = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{i\uparrow} - \phi_{j\uparrow}) - \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{i\downarrow} - \phi_{j\downarrow})$ and the other as a Doppler gauge field $v_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{i\uparrow} - \phi_{j\uparrow}) + \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{i\downarrow} - \phi_{j\downarrow})$ [21, 27, 28]. The Berry gauge field causes the renormalized spinon to acquire the Aharonov-Bohm phase when it moves around a spinon pair vortex. The Doppler gauge field causes a shift in the energy of the renormalized spinon in the presence of the spinon pair current. With the renormalized spinon fields $\psi_{i\sigma}$, the spinon Hamiltonian H_s^{eff} in Eq.(1) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} H_s^{eff} = & -\frac{J_\delta}{4} \chi \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(\psi_{i\uparrow}^\dagger e^{-i(a_{ij} - v_{ij} - a_{ij}^\psi)} \psi_{j\uparrow} \right. \\ & \left. + \psi_{i\downarrow}^\dagger e^{-i(a_{ij} - v_{ij} + a_{ij}^\psi)} \psi_{j\downarrow} + h.c. \right) \\ & + \frac{J_\delta}{2} \Delta_{sp} \sum_i \left((\psi_{i\uparrow} \psi_{i+\hat{x}\downarrow} - \psi_{i\downarrow} \psi_{i+\hat{x}\uparrow}) + h.c. \right) \\ & - \frac{J_\delta}{2} \Delta_{sp} \sum_i \left((\psi_{i\uparrow} \psi_{i+\hat{y}\downarrow} - \psi_{i\downarrow} \psi_{i+\hat{y}\uparrow}) + h.c. \right) \\ & - \sum_{i,\sigma} \mu_i^f (\psi_{i\sigma}^\dagger \psi_{i\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The internal U(1) gauge field a_{ij} arises as a result of hopping of spinons (or holons) around plaquettes. Here we use the uniform amplitudes for the hopping order parameter (χ) and the spinon pairing order parameter (Δ_{sp}) neglecting the amplitude fluctuations of the order parameters in the low energy.

We now take the continuum limit for both the fermion field $\psi_{i\sigma}$ and the phase of the spinon pairing order parameter ϕ_{ij}^{sp} in the low energy. The spinon excitations near the d -wave nodal point are described by the Dirac Lagrangian in the continuum limit. The Dirac fermion is coupled to the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ , the Doppler gauge field v_μ and the internal U(1) gauge field a_μ . The low energy Lagrangian for the Dirac fermion coupled to the three gauge fields are obtained to be, from Eq.(2)[28],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\psi = & \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu \psi_l - 2J_{c\mu} (v_\mu - a_\mu) - J_{s\mu} a_\mu^\psi \\ = & \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu \psi_l - J_{c\mu} (\partial_\mu \phi_{sp} - 2a_\mu) - J_{s\mu} a_\mu^\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here ψ_l represents the 4 component spinor of the massless Dirac fermion near the nodal points ($l = 1$ and 2), γ_μ , the Dirac matrices in $(2+1)$ dimension and τ^i , the Pauli matrices[28]. The Berry gauge field and the Doppler gauge field become $a_\mu^\psi = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi_\uparrow - \partial_\mu \phi_\downarrow)$ and $v_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi_\uparrow + \partial_\mu \phi_\downarrow) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_{sp}$ (note that we replaced ϕ_{ij}^{sp} with ϕ_{sp} for the phase of the spinon pairing order parameter) respectively in the continuum limit. $J_{s\mu} = i(\bar{\psi}_l \gamma_0 \psi_l, v_f \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_1 \psi_l, v_\Delta \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_2 \psi_l)$ is the spin current of the Dirac fermion and $J_{c\mu} = (\sum_l \psi_l^\dagger \tau^3 \psi_l, v_f \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1, v_f \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2)$, the internal U(1) charge ($q = \bar{e}$) current of the Dirac fermion ($\bar{e}$ denotes unit internal U(1) charge). $v_f \sim J_\delta \chi$ and $v_\Delta \sim J_\delta \Delta_{sp}$ is the Fermi velocity and the gap velocity respectively[28]. In the following we will set $v_f = v_\Delta = 1$ for simplicity.

We are interested in the construction of an effective Lagrangian involved with low energy excitations. Following the U(1) slave boson Hamiltonian introduced above, the low energy excitations refer to the phase fluctuations (but not the amplitude fluctuations) of the spinon pair and holon pair order parameters, the massless spinon (Dirac particle) excitations (at the d -wave nodal points), and the single holons. Gauge field fluctuations $a_\mu(x)$ are introduced to allow the presence of internal flux responsible for energy lowering, which arises as a result of hopping of spinons (or holons) around plaquettes. Another low energy excitation is concerned with the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ [21, 27, 28] minimally coupled to the massless Dirac fermions. A singular gauge transformation is considered to allow for the formation of spinon pair vortices. Thus considering the above elementary excitations, we write

the $(2+1)D$ low energy Lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \int D\psi_l D\psi_b D\phi_{sp} D\phi_{bp} D a_\mu^\psi D a_\mu D\lambda_\mu e^{-\int d^3x \mathcal{L}}, \\
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_b, \\
\mathcal{L}_s &= \frac{K_{sp}}{2} |\partial_\mu \phi_{sp} - 2a_\mu - K_{sp}^{-1} J_{c\mu}|^2 \\
&+ i\bar{\rho}_{sp} \partial_\tau \phi_{sp} + \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^\psi) \psi_l + i\lambda_\mu (\partial \times a^\psi - \frac{1}{2} j_s^V)_\mu, \\
\mathcal{L}_b &= \frac{K_{bp}}{2} |\partial_\mu \phi_{bp} - 2a_\mu - 2A_\mu - K_{bp}^{-1} J_{b\mu}|^2 \\
&+ i\bar{\rho}_{bp} (\partial_\tau \phi_{bp} - 2A_0) + |\partial_\mu \psi_b|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the above equation, ϕ_{sp} and ϕ_{bp} are the phase (not the phase factor) of the spinon pair and holon pair order parameters respectively. $K_{sp} \sim J_\delta |\Delta_{sp}|^2$ is the phase stiffness of the spinon pair order parameter and $K_{bp} \sim J |\Delta_{sp}|^2 |\Delta_{bp}|^2$, the phase stiffness of the holon pair order parameter. $\psi_b = e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\phi_{bp}} b$ is the renormalized holon quasiparticle with b , the bare holon and $J_{b\mu} = -i(\psi_b^\dagger \partial_\mu \psi_b - \psi_b \partial_\mu \psi_b^\dagger)$, the holon quasiparticle three current. The coupling between the spinon (holon) current $J_{c\mu}$ ($J_{b\mu}$) and the gauge invariant spinon (holon) pair current $\partial_\mu \phi_{sp} - 2a_\mu$ ($\partial_\mu \phi_{bp} - 2a_\mu - 2A_\mu$) in the first term of $\mathcal{L}_s$ ($\mathcal{L}_b$) in Eq.(4) is simply the Doppler coupling[21, 27, 28, 29]. λ_μ is a Lagrange multiplier to impose the constraint for the Berry gauge field in the Anderson gauge[28]. The constraint is that the flux of the Berry gauge field (i.e., $\partial \times a^\psi$) is one half of the spinon pair vortex current (i.e., $\frac{1}{2} j_s^V$) with $j_s^V = \partial \times \partial \phi_{sp}$, the 3-current of the spinon pair vortex[30]. The factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ represents the fact that the Dirac spinon acquires the Aharonov-Bohm phase π when it moves around a spinon pair vortex while the phase of spinon pair field changes by 2π around the vortex. A_μ is the external electromagnetic field[31]. $\bar{\rho}_{sp}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{bp}$ are the average density of the spinon pair and the holon pair respectively. The above low energy Lagrangian has a local $U_a(1) \times U_{a^\psi}(1) \times U_A(1)$ symmetry, which recovers the local $U_{a^\psi}(1) \times U_A(1)$ symmetry of the spinon sector leading to the low energy d -wave Lagrangian if we replace a_μ with A_μ [28] in the spinon Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_s$ of Eq.(4).

Performing the usual duality transformation[20, 25, 26, 28, 32, 33] on the first two terms in both $\mathcal{L}_s$ and $\mathcal{L}_b$ [34] and defining $a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} = \lambda_\mu/2$, we obtain a dual (vortex) Lagrangian describing the spinon pair vortex field, Φ_{sp}

and the holon pair vortex field, Φ_{bp} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \int D\psi_l D\psi_b D\Phi_{sp} D\Phi_{bp} Dc_{sp\mu} Dc_{bp\mu} D a_\mu^{\psi} D a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} D a_\mu \\
&\exp\left(-\int d^3x \mathcal{L}\right), \\
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_b, \\
\mathcal{L}_s &= \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^\psi) \psi_l \\
&+ |(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} - ic_{sp\mu}) \Phi_{sp}|^2 + V(|\Phi_{sp}|) + \frac{1}{2K_{sp}} |\partial \times c_{sp}|^2 \\
&+ i2a_\mu^\psi (\partial \times a^{\Phi_{sp}})_\mu - i(2a + K_{sp}^{-1} J_c)_\mu (\partial \times c_{sp})_\mu \\
&- \mu_{sp} (\partial \times c_{sp})_\tau, \\
\mathcal{L}_b &= |\partial_\mu \psi_b|^2 + |(\partial_\mu - ic_{bp\mu}) \Phi_{bp}|^2 + V(|\Phi_{bp}|) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2K_{bp}} |\partial \times c_{bp}|^2 - i(2a + 2A + K_{bp}^{-1} J_b)_\mu (\partial \times c_{bp})_\mu \\
&- \mu_{bp} (\partial \times c_{bp})_\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with the effective Ginzburg-Landau potentials of the spinon (holon) pair vortex fields $V(|\Phi_{sp(bp)}|) = m_{\Phi_{sp(bp)}}^2 |\Phi_{sp(bp)}|^2 + \frac{u_{\Phi_{sp(bp)}}}{2} |\Phi_{sp(bp)}|^4$ and $\mu_{sp(bp)} = \frac{\bar{\rho}_{sp(bp)}}{K_{sp(bp)}} [34]$. Here $\Phi_{sp(bp)}$ is the vortex field of the spinon (holon) pair and $c_{sp(bp)\mu}$, the vortex (dual) gauge field (or the spin wave) which mediates interactions between the spinon (holon) pair vortex fields. It is noted that a_μ^ψ and $a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}}$ represent the Berry gauge field and the Lagrangian multiplier field respectively. They are called the mutual Chern-Simons gauge fields because they guarantee the mutuality (in Aharonov-Bohm phase contributions) that occurs when a spinon ψ_l moves around a spinon pair vortex Φ_{sp} or vice versa[28, 35]. It is noted that in our theory the effect of hole doping into the Mott insulator is realized in the holon pair vortices which is, in turn, originated from the holon-pair boson field. The mathematical form of Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_s$ in Eq.(5) is equivalent to the d -wave field theory of other researchers[27, 28]. In our theory an additional part $\mathcal{L}_b$ appears to allow for studies of doping dependent superconductivity involved with the doped Mott insulator.

Based on the dual Lagrangian we obtain two different phases in the underdoped region : (1) the superconducting (SC) phase for $\delta > \delta_c$ with δ_c , the critical hole concentration for superconductivity at 0K in the absence of both the spinon pair vortex condensation and the holon pair vortex condensation $\langle \Phi_{sp} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Phi_{bp} \rangle = 0$ and (2) the spin gap (SG) phase for $\delta < \delta_c$ in the absence of the spinon pair vortex condensation $\langle \Phi_{sp} \rangle = 0$ but with the presence of the holon pair vortex condensation $\langle \Phi_{bp} \rangle \neq 0$. These two phases in the vortex field representation are essentially equivalent to the U(1) holon-pair boson theory of Lee and Salk[23] in the Higgs field representation.

Integrating over the internal U(1) gauge field a_μ , we obtain the constraint

$$\partial \times c_{sp} + \partial \times c_{bp} = 0. \tag{6}$$

We insert $c_{bp} = \partial\varphi - c_{sp}$ with φ , an arbitrary function into Eq.(5) and perform a gauge transformation of $\Phi_{bp} \rightarrow e^{i\varphi}\Phi_{bp}$ to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int D\psi_l D\psi_b D\Phi_{sp} D\Phi_{bp} Dc_{sp\mu} Da_\mu^\psi Da_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} e^{-\int d^3x \mathcal{L}}, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^\psi) \psi_l + |\partial_\mu \psi_b|^2 \\ &+ |(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} - ic_{sp\mu})\Phi_{sp}|^2 + V(|\Phi_{sp}|) + \frac{1}{2K_p} |\partial \times c_{sp}|^2 \\ &+ i2a_\mu^\psi (\partial \times a^{\Phi_{sp}})_\mu - iK_{sp}^{-1} J_{c\mu} (\partial \times c_{sp})_\mu \\ &+ |(\partial_\mu + ic_{sp\mu})\Phi_{bp}|^2 + V(|\Phi_{bp}|) \\ &+ i(2A + K_{bp}^{-1} J_b)_\mu (\partial \times c_{sp})_\mu - \tilde{\mu} (\partial \times c_{sp})_\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $K_p^{-1} = K_{sp}^{-1} + K_{bp}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_{sp} - \mu_{bp}$. The spinon pair vortex is coupled with the holon pair vortex via the dual gauge bosons $c_{sp\mu}$.

Redefining $a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} + c_{sp\mu}$ as $a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}}$ [28], we obtain the effective dual Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int D\psi_l D\psi_b D\Phi_{sp} D\Phi_{bp} Dc_{sp\mu} Da_\mu^\psi Da_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} e^{-\int d^3x \mathcal{L}}, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^\psi) \psi_l + i2a_\mu^\psi (\partial \times a^{\Phi_{sp}})_\mu + |\partial_\mu \psi_b|^2 \\ &+ |(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}})\Phi_{sp}|^2 + V(|\Phi_{sp}|) \\ &+ |(\partial_\mu + ic_{sp\mu})\Phi_{bp}|^2 + V(|\Phi_{bp}|) + \frac{1}{2K_p} |\partial \times c_{sp}|^2 \\ &- i(2a^\psi - 2A + K_{sp}^{-1} J_c - K_{bp}^{-1} J_b)_\mu (\partial \times c_{sp})_\mu \\ &- \tilde{\mu} (\partial \times c_{sp})_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Neglecting the contribution of the holon sector of vortex field ($|(\partial_\mu + ic_{sp\mu})\Phi_{bp}|^2 + V(|\Phi_{bp}|)$) in this dual Lagrangian, we recover a mathematically identical form (but not physics) to the Lagrangian of Ye[28]. Here we analyze the above Lagrangian in terms of the holon and spinon vortex fields. In the underdoped region it is expected that phase coherence of the spinon pairing field appears owing to the large phase stiffness of spinon pairing field (K_{sp}). In the coherent phase of spinon pairing, the spinon pair vortices form a neutral dipole pair with its antivortex and thus they do not contribute vortex condensation. In this case the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ becomes massive owing to the Anderson-Higgs mechanism[36]. The mass of the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ is obtained to be proportional to the phase stiffness of the spinon pairing (i.e., $K_{sp} \sim J|\Delta_{sp}|^2$)[36]. Thus the mass of the Berry gauge field becomes large in the underdoped region owing to the large spin gap. With the large mass of the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ , the chiral symmetry breaking is not expected to occur in the underdoped region[10]. This is because the fluctuations of the Berry gauge field are suppressed and the spinons become free in the low energy limit. In such case the spinon-antispinon pair condensation is not allowed. Thus there can be no antiferromagnetic order. This is inconsistent with experiments[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. To properly describe

the presence of the antiferromagnetic order in the underdoped region, we will introduce a dual gauge transformation involved with the Cooper pair and chargeon pair fields, which naturally arise as a result of the internal gauge field a_μ strongly coupled to the holon pair and spinon pair fields.

In order to manifestly describe the coexistence of AF and SC observed in experiments[1, 2, 3, 4, 5] we now introduce two composite vortex pair fields[25, 26]; the chargeon pair vortex field $\Psi_c = \Phi_{bp}^{\dagger\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{sp}^{\dagger\frac{1}{2}}$ and the Cooper pair vortex field $\Psi_n = \Phi_{bp}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{sp}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Ψ_c carries the $-hc/4\tilde{e}$ internal U(1) flux corresponding to $-4\tilde{e}$ internal U(1) charge while Ψ_n carries no internal U(1) flux. Here $\tilde{e}$ denotes the unit internal U(1) gauge charge and the subscript n stands for no internal flux. In this respect we can also call Ψ_c as the "charged" (with internal U(1) flux of $-\frac{hc}{4\tilde{e}}$) vortex field and Ψ_n as the neutral (no internal charge) vortex field. In the Higgs field language the composite chargeon pair vortex field Ψ_c is originated from the composite nature of the chargeon pair field defined by $\phi_c = -(\phi_{bp} + \phi_{sp})$ from $e^{i\phi_c} = e^{-i\phi_{bp}} e^{-i\phi_{sp}}$ and the composite nature of the Cooper pair vortex field Ψ_n comes from the composite Cooper pair field defined by $\phi_p = \phi_{bp} - \phi_{sp}$ from $e^{i\phi_p} = e^{i\phi_{bp}} e^{-i\phi_{sp}}$. ϕ_c carries $-2e$ electromagnetic (EM) charge and $-4\tilde{e}$ internal gauge charge, while ϕ_p carries $+2e$ EM charge and no internal charge. The $+2e$ EM charge of the Cooper pair as a composite of the holon pair and spinon pair originates from the $+2e$ EM charge of the holon pair as a consequence of doped hole charges $+2e$. It is of note that for the hole doped high T_c cuprates the superconducting charge carriers are known to have electrically positive $+2e$ charges. For clarity with the terminology here duality between charge and vortex is summarized in Table [1]. It is of note that our chargeon pair field is different from the chargin field of the Z_2 gauge theory[24]; in our slave-boson gauge theory formulation the chargeon pair field ϕ_c carries the U(1) internal gauge charge $-4\tilde{e}$ and the EM charge $-2e$ as pointed out above while in the Z_2 gauge theory the chargin pair field carries no internal charge. It carries only the EM charge $-2e$ [24]. Further our holons are related to doped holes unlike the case of the Z_2 gauge theory.

The spinon pair field and the holon pair field strongly coupled to the U(1) gauge bosons a_μ lead to the construction of the composite Cooper pair field, $\phi_p = \phi_{bp} - \phi_{sp}$ and the composite chargeon pair field, $\phi_c = -(\phi_{bp} + \phi_{sp})$ respectively. The introduction of these composite fields are convenient to describe both the superconductivity and the antiferromagnetism. This is the essence of the present work. There exists an analogy to quantum chromodynamics (QCD). In QCD quark-antiquark confinement (i.e., the mesons) exists as low energy elementary excitations. In a loose sense, the meson corresponds to the Cooper pair, that is, the confinement of the spinon pair and the holon pair. Introducing the phase of the Cooper pair, $\phi_p = \phi_{bp} - \phi_{sp}$ and the phase of the char-

geon pair, $\phi_c = -(\phi_{bp} + \phi_{sp})$ respectively, we can rewrite Eq.(4) in terms of these composite fields and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \int D\psi_l D\psi_b D\phi_p D\phi_c D a_\mu^\psi D a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} D a_\mu e^{-\int d^3x \mathcal{L}}, \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{\kappa_p}{2} |\partial_\mu \phi_p - 2A_\mu|^2 - i\bar{\rho}_{sp}(\partial_\tau \phi_p - A_\tau) \\
&+ \frac{\kappa_c}{2} |\partial_\mu \phi_c + 4a_\mu + 2A_\mu|^2 \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu^\psi) \psi_l \\
&+ \kappa_{cp} (\partial_\mu \phi_c + 4a_\mu + 2A_\mu) (\partial_\mu \phi_p - 2A_\mu) \\
&+ i 2 a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} \left(\partial \times (a^\psi + \frac{1}{4} \partial(\phi_p + \phi_c)) \right)_\mu \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_c + 4a_\mu + 2A_\mu) (J_{c\mu} + J_{b\mu}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_p - 2A_\mu) (J_{c\mu} - J_{b\mu}) \\
&+ \frac{J_c^2}{2K_{sp}} + \frac{J_b^2}{2K_{bp}} + |\partial_\mu \psi_b|^2, \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_c = \kappa_p = \frac{K_{sp} + K_{bp}}{4}$ and $\kappa_{cp} = \frac{K_{sp} - K_{bp}}{4}$. As will be discussed later, in this representation the Cooper pair field sector in the derived effective Lagrangian describes the superconductivity while the chargeon pair field sector describes the antiferromagnetism in the presence of couplings with the Dirac fermions via the Berry gauge field. From the duality transformation we obtain an effective field theory to describe the Cooper pair vortex field and the chargeon pair vortex field.

We write down the effective dual Lagrangian, Eq.(9) (or Eq.(8)) in terms of the new composite vortex fields by redefining $c_{c\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} - c_{sp\mu})$ for the chargeon pair dual (vortex gauge) field associated with the internally ‘charged’ chargeon pair ϕ_c with the internal charge $-4\tilde{e}$ and $c_{n\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}} + c_{sp\mu})$ for the Cooper pair dual (vortex gauge) field associated with the ‘neutral’ (internal U(1) charge 0) Cooper pair ϕ_p ,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \int D\psi_l D\psi_b D\Psi_n D\Psi_c D a_\mu^\psi D c_{n\mu} D c_{c\mu} e^{-\int d^3x \mathcal{L}}, \\
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_D + \mathcal{L}_O + \mathcal{L}_C, \\
\mathcal{L}_D &= \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu^\psi) \psi_l + i 4 a_\mu^\psi (\partial \times c_c)_\mu + |\partial_\mu \psi_b|^2 \\
&+ |(\partial_\mu + i c_{c\mu}) \Psi_c|^2 + V(|\Psi_c|) + \frac{1}{2K_c} |\partial \times c_c|^2 \\
&- i(2A - K_{sp}^{-1} J_c + K_{bp}^{-1} J_b) \cdot \partial \times c_c + \tilde{\mu}(\partial \times c_c)_\tau, \\
\mathcal{L}_O &= |(\partial_\mu + i c_{n\mu}) \Psi_n|^2 + V(|\Psi_n|) + \frac{1}{2K_p} |\partial \times c_n|^2 \\
&+ i(2A - K_{sp}^{-1} J_c + K_{bp}^{-1} J_b) \cdot \partial \times c_n - \tilde{\mu}(\partial \times c_n)_\tau, \\
\mathcal{L}_C &= -\frac{1}{K_{cn}} (\partial \times c_c) \cdot (\partial \times c_n) + \mathcal{L}_{int}[\Psi_c, \Psi_n], \\
\mathcal{L}_{int} &= \kappa \left(\Psi_c^\dagger (\partial_\mu + i c_{c\mu}) \Psi_c - \Psi_c (\partial_\mu - i c_{c\mu}) \Psi_c^\dagger \right) \\
&\times \left(\Psi_n^\dagger (\partial_\mu + i c_{n\mu}) \Psi_n - \Psi_n (\partial_\mu - i c_{n\mu}) \Psi_n^\dagger \right), \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

with $K_c = K_p = \frac{K_{bp} K_{sp}}{K_{bp} + K_{sp}}$, the effective phase stiffness of each composite Higgs field, $K_{cn} = K_p$, the coupling strength between the supercurrents and κ , that between the vortex currents. $V(|\Psi_{c(n)}|) = m_{c(n)}^2 |\Psi_{c(n)}|^2 + \frac{u_{c(n)}}{2} |\Psi_{c(n)}|^4$ is the Ginzburg-Landau potential of the composite vortex fields Ψ_c and Ψ_n . The subscript symbols for the Lagrangians above “D” stand for disordering contribution of the chargeon pair field; “O”, ordering contribution of the Cooper pair field and “C”, coupling between them. It is of note that the effective phase stiffness is found to be identical for both the chargeon pair and the Cooper pair, that is, $K_c = K_p = \frac{K_{bp} K_{sp}}{K_{bp} + K_{sp}}$. The phase stiffness vanishes to zero as hole concentration decreases to zero[37]. Thus in the low doping region, both the chargeon pair and the Cooper pair fields are incoherent to maintain the lowest possible energy state. To put it otherwise, both the chargeon pair vortex and the Cooper pair vortex are condensed (i.e., $\langle \Psi_c \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle \Psi_n \rangle \neq 0$ respectively). With increasing hole concentration, the phase stiffness increases[37]. And thus phase transitions can occur at a critical hole doping from disordered phases in the lower doping region to coherent phases in the higher doping region for both the chargeon pair and Cooper pair fields. Although the phase stiffness of the chargeon pair is identical to that of the Cooper pair field, $K_p = K_c$ which varies with hole concentration, the phase transitions concerned with the chargeon pair and the Cooper pair do not occur at the same hole concentration. This is because only the chargeon pair is coupled to the massless internal U(1) gauge field a_μ^ψ (the second term of $\mathcal{L}_D$ in Eq.(10)) via the chargeon vortex gauge field $c_{c\mu}$ while the Cooper pair is not, since it is an internal-charge neutral object[38]. Thus, despite the identical phase stiffness, the fluctuations of the gauge field a_μ^ψ tend to destroy the phase coherence of the chargeon pair but not that of the Cooper pair since the latter is not coupled to the gauge field[39, 40]. This tendency is well manifested in the phase diagram of the Abelian-Higgs model[39, 40, 41]: the critical value of phase stiffness for the phase transition from an incoherent phase to a coherent phase increases with increasing coupling to the gauge field. Applying this result to the chargeon pair and the Cooper pair, we obtain the following result. The coherent phase occurs at a larger value of the phase stiffness for the chargeon pair (which is coupled to the gauge field a_μ^ψ) than for the Cooper pair (which is not coupled to the gauge field). Thus for some values of phase stiffness, only the Cooper pair becomes coherent while the chargeon pair remains incoherent. This implies that there exists a range of hole concentration where only the chargeon pair vortices are condensed without Cooper pair vortex condensation. This corresponds to the SC phase accompanying the chargeon pair vortex condensation. As will be shown below, the chargeon pair vortex condensation causes the antiferromagnetic order which coexists with the superconductivity.

The dual vortex Lagrangian Eq.(10) is a different rep-

resentation of the Lagrangian Eq.(8), the former of which is described by the composite Cooper pair and chargeon pair vortex fields, $\Psi_c = \Phi_{bp}^{\dagger\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{sp}^{\dagger\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\Psi_n = \Phi_{bp}^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{sp}^{\dagger\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively. In a different physical context a mathematically similar procedure is made in the dual vortex theory of Balents et. al[25, 26] and the SU(2) slave boson theory of Nagaosa and Lee dealing with instantons[20]. The Dirac fermion couples only to the chargeon pair with internally ‘charged’ vortex Ψ_c via the U(1) Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ . The massless Dirac fermion acquires the Aharonov-Bohm phase when it moves around the ‘charged’ (internal flux $-\frac{hc}{4\tilde{e}}$) vortex Ψ_c , but not so around the Cooper pair (internal flux 0) vortex field. This is because the Cooper pair vortex field cannot carry the internal U(1) flux[38]. Thus the spinon field is coupled only to the chargeon pair vortex field via the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ and the chargeon pair vortex gauge field $c_{c\mu}$. We note that owing to the $-hc/4\tilde{e}$ internal U(1) flux the coupling constant in the second term of $\mathcal{L}_D$ is $-4\tilde{e}$ (with $\tilde{e}$, one unit). As we shall see below, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_O$ for the Cooper pair vortex field is decoupled with $\mathcal{L}_D$ for the charged vortex sector in the low energy limit. This is because the Cooper pair field does not carry the U(1) internal charge and the rest of the coupling terms vanish in the low energy limit.

Now we examine a possible ground state of chargeon pair from $\mathcal{L}_D$ of Eq.(10). Integrating out the Dirac fermion in the chiral symmetry broken phase, $\mathcal{L}_D$ leads to the dual Lagrangian of the Abelian Higgs model for the chargeon pair field ϕ_c . It is recalled that the chargeon pair has internal charge $-4\tilde{e}$ and electromagnetic charge $-2e$. It is known that such Lagrangian shows a confinement-deconfinement phase transition of the internal charge $\tilde{e}$ depending on the phase stiffness K_c of the chargeon pair field[20, 39, 40, 41]; (1) the deconfinement phase of internal charge for large phase stiffness and (2) the confinement phase of internal charge for small phase stiffness. In the deconfinement phase of the internal charge $\tilde{e}$, one can expect an elementary excitation carrying an internal charge $\tilde{e}$ and fractional electric charge $\frac{e}{2}$ [41]. Here we considered only the confinement phase of the internal charge. This is justifiable in the low doping region of present interest because the phase stiffness of chargeon pair $K_c = \frac{K_{bp}K_{sp}}{K_{bp}+K_{sp}}$ is small for low hole doping. As is shown earlier, the phase stiffness $K_c = \frac{K_{bp}K_{sp}}{K_{bp}+K_{sp}}$ diminishes as hole concentration decreases (to zero) at half-filling owing to the decreasing trend of phase stiffness of holon pair K_{bp} . Thus the chargeon pair vortices are condensed owing to the small phase stiffness in the low doping region. In this confinement phase no elementary excitations with the internal charge $\tilde{e}$ and the fractional electromagnetic charge of e appear. In the following, we consider the dynamics of Dirac fermions in the confinement phase of internal charge $\tilde{e}$.

Integrating over the dual gauge field $c_{c\mu}$ in the presence of the chargeon pair vortex condensation, i.e., $\langle \Psi_c \rangle =$

$\bar{\Psi}_c \neq 0$, we obtain the low energy effective Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int D\psi_l D\psi_b D\Psi_n D a_\mu^\psi D c_{n\mu} e^{-\int d^3x \mathcal{L}}, \\ \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_D + \mathcal{L}_O, \\ \mathcal{L}_D &= \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu^\psi) \psi_l + |\partial_\mu \psi_b|^2 + \frac{1}{4\bar{\Psi}_c^2} \left(4\partial \times a^\psi \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\partial \times A + K_{sp}^{-1} \partial \times J_c - K_{bp}^{-1} \partial \times J_b + i K_p^{-1} \partial \times \partial \times c_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\kappa \bar{\Psi}_c^2 \left(\Psi_n^* (\partial + i c_n) \Psi_n - \Psi_n (\partial - i c_n) \Psi_n^* \right) \right)^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_O &= |(\partial_\mu + i c_{n\mu}) \Psi_n|^2 + V(|\Psi_n|) + \frac{1}{2K_p} |\partial \times c_n|^2 \\ &\quad + i(2A - K_{sp}^{-1} J_c + K_{bp}^{-1} J_b) \cdot \partial \times c_n - \tilde{\mu} (\partial \times c_n)_\tau. \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

We stress that the two effective Lagrangians above contain essential physics. The total effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ is separated into two parts; (1) $\mathcal{L}_D$ for the chargeon pair vortex field and (2) $\mathcal{L}_O$ for the Cooper pair vortex field. $\mathcal{L}_D$ also describes the dynamics of the holon quasiparticles effectively coupled to the Dirac spinons in the ground state of randomly disordered chargeon pair including the self-interacting Dirac fermions coupled to the massless gauge bosons a_μ^ψ . The condensation of the chargeon pair vortex field makes the Berry gauge field a^ψ remain massless even in the superconducting state as discussed earlier[42]. This is different from other theories[12, 21, 27, 28] in which the Berry gauge field becomes massive in the superconducting state. In these theories[21, 27] the Berry gauge field is coupled to the Cooper pair field. The Berry gauge field becomes massive in the superconducting phase owing to the Anderson-Higgs mechanism. Accordingly the Dirac fermion ends up being coupled to the massive Berry gauge field in the superconducting state. On the other hand, in our theory the Berry gauge field is coupled to the chargeon pair field, but not to the Cooper pair field. Thus the Berry gauge field remains massless even in the superconducting state accompanying the condensation of the chargeon pair vortex. As a result the Dirac fermion and the massless Berry gauge field is described by the QED Lagrangian. The chiral symmetry breaking occurs since the flavor number of fermions of our interest is 2 which is less than the critical flavor number $N_c = 3.24$ [19]. The chiral symmetry breaking results in the antiferromagnetic order. This, in turn, implies the coexistence of the AF and the SC because we obtained the AF in the presence of SC accompanying the condensation of the chargeon pair vortex. If we do not introduce the vortex pair field variables, Ψ_c and Ψ_n , the SC state is characterized by the absence of the spinon pair vortex ($\langle \Phi_{sp} \rangle = 0$) and the holon pair vortex ($\langle \Phi_{bp} \rangle = 0$) respectively in the original representation. With this original representation, we can not address the antiferromagnetism. The reason is as follows. The Berry gauge field becomes massive in the presence of coherent spinon pairing owing to the Anderson-Higgs mechanism[36]. Thus the chiral symmetry breaking does not occur owing to the large mass of the Berry gauge

field in the low doping region. Accordingly the antiferromagnetic order does not occur. To properly describe the antiferromagnetic order in the underdoped region, it is, thus, shown to be necessary to introduce the composite pair fields, namely the Cooper pair field and the chargeon pair field respectively. This is because the strong gauge fluctuations allow the spinon pair field and the holon pair field to form the composite pair fields. As will be soon be seen below, the effective dual Lagrangian for the Cooper pair vortex and chargeon pair vortex fields is a convenient ‘tool’ to directly see whether the coexistence of the AF and the SC affects the superconductivity. In this pair vortex field representation it is natural to see the chargeon pair vortex condensation because of the small phase stiffness K_c [20, 39, 40, 41] for the case of low hole doping in the underdoped region, thus causing the massless Berry gauge field in the superconducting state[42]. The Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ has the kinetic energy which varies with the coupling strength proportional to the square of the charged vortex field $\bar{\Psi}_c^2$.

In order to examine whether the massless nodal fermion excitations affect the condensation of the Cooper pair vortex field in the presence of chargeon pair vortex condensation, we rearrange the above effective Lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{AF} &= \bar{\psi}_l \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu^\psi) \psi_l, \\
\mathcal{L}_{SC} &= |(\partial_\mu + i c_{n\mu}) \Psi_n|^2 + V(|\Psi_n|) + \frac{1}{2K_p} |\partial \times c_n|^2 \\
&\quad + i 2A \cdot \partial \times c_n - \tilde{\mu} (\partial \times c_n)_\tau, \\
\mathcal{L}_C &= \frac{1}{4\bar{\Psi}_c^2} \left(4\partial \times a^\psi - 2\partial \times A \right. \\
&\quad \left. + K_{sp}^{-1} \partial \times J_c - K_{bp}^{-1} \partial \times J_b + i K_p^{-1} \partial \times \partial \times c_n \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2\kappa \bar{\Psi}_c^2 \left(\Psi_n^* (\partial + i c_n) \Psi_n - \Psi_n (\partial - i c_n) \Psi_n^* \right) \right)^2 \\
&\quad - i (K_{sp}^{-1} J_c - K_{bp}^{-1} J_b) \cdot \partial \times c_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here coupling between the SC and the AF are in $\mathcal{L}_C$. We can judge whether the coupling terms are relevant for SC phase transition, by determining the scaling dimensions of coupling constants in the renormalization group procedure. From a dimensional analysis[44], the scaling dimensions for all of the coupling constants in $\mathcal{L}_C$ are obtained to be negative, that is, $\left[\frac{1}{\bar{\Psi}_c^2}\right] = -1$, $[K_{sp}^{-1}] = -1$, $[K_{bp}^{-1}] = -1$, $[K_p^{-1}] = -1$ and $[\kappa] = -1$. Since the scaling dimension of coupling constants are negative, the strength of coupling diminishes as the cut-off energy gets smaller by integrating out high momentum modes in the renormalization group procedure[43]. Thus all of the coupling terms in $\mathcal{L}_C$ vanish in the low energy limit. Physically speaking, antiferromagnetism ($\mathcal{L}_{AF}$) and superconductivity ($\mathcal{L}_{SC}$) are decoupled in the low energy limit. As a consequence the antiferromagnetism can not affect the superconducting phase transition at $T = 0$, thus allowing the XY universality class in the extreme type II limit[45]. We note that our superconducting phase pre-

serves the local $U_a(1) \times U_{a^\psi}(1)$ gauge symmetry. Only $U_A(1)$ symmetry is broken. In this sense our d -wave superconducting state is conventional.

It is now obvious from the examination of $\mathcal{L}_{AF}$ in Eq.(12) that the dynamical mass generation for the Dirac fermion leads to an antiferromagnetic ordering[18, 21, 46] since the chiral symmetry associated with the translational symmetry in this spinor representation[21] is broken[19]. The d -wave superconductivity can coexist with the spin density wave (SDW) order. Magnetization is shown to be proportional to the amplitude of the chargeon pair vortex condensation (coupling strength between the nodal spinon and the Berry gauge field), i.e., $\bar{\Psi}_c^2$. The vortex condensation amplitude is given by the stiffness parameter, $\bar{\Psi}_c^2 \sim (\bar{K}_c - K_c)$. Here $\bar{K}_c$ is the critical stiffness parameter where the chargeon pair vortices begin to condense. As discussed earlier, for the case of $K_c > \bar{K}_c$ where the chargeon pair vortices are not condensed, deconfinement of the internal $U(1)$ gauge charge occurs. We obtain the magnetization as a function of hole doping, $m \sim (\bar{K}_c - K_c) \sim (\delta_c^{in2} - \delta^2)$ where δ_c^{in} is the critical hole concentration corresponding to the critical phase stiffness $\bar{K}_c$. The critical hole doping δ_c^{in} of the confinement to deconfinement transition is larger than the critical hole doping δ_c of the superconducting transition as discussed earlier. To determine the precise value of δ_c^{in} is beyond the scope of our paper. As mentioned above the presence of the antiferromagnetic order does not affect the d -wave superconductivity.

We summarize our results in Table [2]. In the underdoped superconducting region of $\delta > \delta_c$ with δ , the hole concentration and δ_c , the critical hole concentration for initiating the SC phase, the chargeon pair vortex Ψ_c (but not the Cooper pair vortex Ψ_n) is condensed. In the PG phase ($\delta < \delta_c$) the Cooper pair vortex becomes condensed and, if possible, only a local phase coherence can survive. This is the pseudogap phase of the preformed pair scenario[47]. This vortex superfluid state corresponds to the Mott insulating phase of the Cooper pair field[33]. In the chargeon pair vortex field sector we considered only the chargeon pair vortex condensed phase $\langle \Psi_c \rangle \neq 0$ corresponding to the confinement phase of the internal charge. Owing to the disordered chargeon pairs the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ remains massless even in the SC phase[42]. The Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ describes the Aharonov-Bohm phase acquired by spinon when it moves around chargeon vortex. In the SC phase the chiral symmetry breaking also occurs and thus the Dirac fermions become massive. The antiferromagnetic order originated from the massive Dirac fermions near the nodal points emerges in the underdoped d -wave SC state in the low temperature ($T = 0$) limit.

III. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we showed that the antiferromagnetic order is decoupled to the superconducting order in the

low energy limit. Thus the chiral symmetry breaking (the antiferromagnetic order) does not affect the XY universality class of the extreme type II superconducting phase transition at $T = 0$. In short the d -wave superconductivity is not affected by the antiferromagnetic order despite the coexistence between the two. In the

present paper, we concentrated on the dynamics of the Dirac fermion only in the confinement phase of internal charge $\tilde{e}$. It is of great interest to study the dynamics of the Dirac fermion in the deconfinement phase of internal charge $\tilde{e}$ in the future.

-
- [1] J. E. Sonier, J. H. Brewer, R. F. Kiefl, R. I. Miller, G. D. Morris, C. E. Stronach, J. S. Gardner, S. R. Dunsiger, D. A. Bonn, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, and R. H. Heffner, *Science* **292**, 1692 (2001).
- [2] Y. Sidis, C. Ulrich, P. Bourges, C. Bernhard, C. Niedermayer, L. P. Regnault, N. H. Andersen, and B. Keimer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 4100 (2001).
- [3] H. A. Mook, Pengcheng Dai, and F. Dogan, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 012502 (2001).
- [4] Ch. Niedermayer, C. Bernhard, T. Blasius, A. Golnik, A. Moodenbaugh, and J. I. Budnick, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 3843 (1998).
- [5] M. -H. Julien, A. Campana, A. Rigamonti, P. Carretta, F. Borsa, P. Kuhns, A. P. Reyes, W. G. Moulton, M. Horvatic, C. Berthier, A. Vietkin, and A. Revcolevschi, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 144508 (2001).
- [6] S. C. Zhang, J. P. Hu, E. Arrigoni, W. Hankel, and A. Auerbach, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 13070 (1999).
- [7] M. Granath, V. Oganessian, S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, and V. J. Emery, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 167011 (2001).
- [8] C. N. A. van Duin and J. Zaanen, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 3676 (2000).
- [9] S. Sachdev, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 913 (2003); Kwon Park and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 184510 (2001).
- [10] T. Pereg-Barnea and M. Franz, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 060503(R) (2003).
- [11] E. Demler, C. Nayak, H. Y. Kee, Y. B. Kim, and T. Senthil, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 155103 (2002).
- [12] Guo-Zhu Liu and Geng Cheng, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 065010 (2003); Guo-Zhu Liu and Geng Cheng, *cond-mat/0208061*; references therein.
- [13] Bumsoo Kyung, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 9083 (2000).
- [14] Wei-Min Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 104513 (2002).
- [15] T. Takimoto and T. Moriya, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 134516 (2002).
- [16] A. I. Lichtenstein and M. I. Katsnelson, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, R9283 (2000).
- [17] S. Feng, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 184501 (2003).
- [18] Don H. Kim and Patrick A. Lee, *Annals Phys.* **272**, 130 (1999).
- [19] The ground state of this QED Lagrangian is characterized by the particle-hole pair order parameter, i.e., $\langle \bar{\psi}_l \psi_l \rangle$ depending on the flavor number N of the massless Dirac fermions[18, 21, 22, 27]. Beyond the critical flavor number N_c , the ground state is simply the particle-hole vacuum (the chiral-symmetry preserved state), i.e., $\langle \bar{\psi}_l \psi_l \rangle = 0$. In this phase the Dirac fermions remain massless. Below N_c the ground state becomes a particle-hole pair condensate (the chiral-symmetry broken state), i.e., $\langle \bar{\psi}_l \psi_l \rangle \neq 0$. In this phase the Dirac fermion becomes massive owing to the binding with its antiparticle. According to the previous works[18, 21, 22, 27], the critical flavor number is known to be $N_c = 3.24$ in the $1/N$ expansion calculation. Because of $N = 2 < N_c$ for the nodal Dirac fermions, the dynamical mass generation occurs, i.e., the chiral symmetry is broken to allow the antiferromagnetic order.
- [20] N. Nagaosa and Patrick A. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 9166 (2000).
- [21] (a) I. F. Herbut, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 047006 (2002); (b) I. F. Herbut, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 094504 (2002).
- [22] Thomas Appelquist, Daniel Nash, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 2575 (1988); D. Pisarski, *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 2423 (1984); W. Appelquist, Bowick, Dimitra Kasabali, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Rev. D* **33**, 3704 (1986).
- [23] S.-S. Lee and S.-H. S. Salk, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 052501 (2001); S.-S. Lee and S.-H. S. Salk, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 054427 (2002); S.-S. Lee, J.-H. Eom, K.-S. Kim, and S.-H. Suck Salk, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 064520 (2002).
- [24] T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 7850-7881 (2000); C. Lannert, M. P. A. Fisher, and T. Senthil, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 134510 (2001); T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 134521 (2001); T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 292-295 (2001); T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, *cond-mat/0006500* (2000).
- [25] L. Balents, M. P. A. Fisher, and C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 6307-6319 (2000).
- [26] C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 178 (2000).
- [27] M. Franz, Z. Tesanovic and O. Vafek, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 054535 (2002); Z. Tesanovic, O. Vafek, and M. Franz, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 180511 (2002).
- [28] J. Ye, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 214505 (2002); reference therein.
- [29] Dung-Hai Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2694 (2000).
- [30] In the Anderson gauge[28], one chooses $\phi_\uparrow = \phi_{sp}$ and $\phi_\downarrow = 0$. Then the Berry gauge field is obtained to be $a_\mu^\psi = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi_{sp}$ and the flux of the Berry gauge field is determined by the vortex current of spinon pair $(\partial \times a^\psi)_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\partial \times \partial\phi_{sp})_\mu = \frac{1}{2}j_\mu^V$. This phase is geometric in the sense that the total phase acquired by a spinon is determined only by the number of vortices enclosed by the path of spinon but not by dynamics. This is why it is called the 'Berry' gauge field.
- [31] Flavio S. Nogueira, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 14559 (2000); references therein; C. Lannert, S. Vishveshwara, and M. P. A. Fisher, *cond-mat/0306749*; Dominic J. Lee and Ian D. Lawrie, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 184506 (2001); references therein.
- [32] M.B. Einhorn and R. Savit, *Phys. Rev. D* **17**, 2583 (1978); M.B. Einhorn and R. Savit, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 1198 (1979).
- [33] N. Nagaosa, *Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics* (ch. 5), Springer. (1999).
- [34] Here we obtain dual Lagrangian for the spinon pair field

and the holon pair field in Eq.(4),

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{K}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi - A_\mu^{eff})^2 + i\bar{\rho}\partial_\tau \phi,$$

where $\phi = \phi_{sp} (\phi_{bp})$, the spinon (holon) pair field, $K = K_{sp} (K_{bp})$ is the phase stiffness of the spinon (holon) pair field, $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_{sp} (\bar{\rho}_{bp})$, the density of the spinon (holon) pair, and $A_\mu^{eff} = 2a_\mu + K_{sp}^{-1} J_{c\mu} (2a_\mu + 2A_\mu + K_{bp}^{-1} J_{b\mu})$, the effective gauge field coupled to the spinon (holon) pair. After the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, one obtains $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2K} j_\mu^2 + i j_\mu (\partial_\mu \phi - A_\mu^{eff}) + i\bar{\rho}\partial_\tau \phi$. Rewriting $j_\mu = (j_0 + \bar{\rho}, j_1, j_2)$ and integrating over the smooth part of phase fluctuations of the spinon (holon) pair, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_b &= |(\partial_\mu - ic_\mu)\Phi|^2 + V(|\Phi_{bp}|) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2K} |\partial \times c|^2 - iA_\mu^{eff} (\partial \times c)_\mu - \mu(\partial \times c)_\tau \\ &+ iA_\tau^{eff} \bar{\rho} + \frac{\bar{\rho}^2}{2K}, \end{aligned}$$

where $j'_\mu = (\partial \times c)_\mu$ and $\mu = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{K}$. With the neglect of the last two terms, this Lagrangian reduces to the vortex Lagrangian in Eq.(5).

- [35] (a) L. Balent, M. P. A. Fisher, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 1654 (1999); (b) C. Lannert, M. P. A. Fisher, and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 134510 (2001).
- [36] In the coherent phase of spinon pairing, the mass of the Berry gauge field a_μ^ψ is generated owing to the Anderson-Higgs mechanism. To see this we consider the Lagrangian for the spinon pair vortex along with the mutual Chern-Simons term,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= |(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}})\Phi_{sp}|^2 + m_{\Phi_{sp}}^2 |\Phi_{sp}|^2 \\ &+ i2a_\mu^\psi (\partial \times a^{\Phi_{sp}})_\mu \end{aligned}$$

in Eq.(8) with the neglect of the higher order contributions for the potential energy of the spinon pair vortex. Here the mass of the spinon pair vortex is proportional to the core energy of vortex and thus is proportional to the phase stiffness of the spinon pair, that is, $m_{\Phi_{sp}} \sim K_{sp} \sim J|\Delta_{sp}|^2$. Integrating over Φ_{sp} and $a_\mu^{\Phi_{sp}}$, one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}' = m_{a^\psi}^2 (a^\psi)^2$$

with $m_{a^\psi} \sim K_{sp} \sim J|\Delta_{sp}|^2$.

- [37] In the holon-pair boson theory, the phase stiffness of the spinon pair and the holon pair are given by $K_{sp} \sim J_\delta |\Delta_{sp}|^2$ and $K_{bp} \sim J|\Delta_{bp}|^2 |\Delta_{sp}|^2$ respectively. In the underdoped region, $K_{sp} \gg K_{bp}$ because $|\Delta_{bp}| \approx \delta$ (at 0K) decreases to zero as half-filling is approached (with δ , the hole concentration). From this we obtain $\frac{K_{bp}K_{sp}}{K_{bp}+K_{sp}} \approx K_{bp} = J|\Delta_{sp}|^2 \delta^2$. Thus the phase stiffness of both the Cooper pair and the chargeon pair increases with increasing hole concentration in the underdoped region.
- [38] In the U(1) holon-pair boson theory[23] the Cooper pair is represented as a composite of the spinon pair and the

holon pair, and has no internal gauge charge even though both the spinon pair and the holon pair have internal charge $2\tilde{e}$. This is because the Cooper field $(\Delta_{bp}\Delta_{sp}^*)$ is invariant under the internal gauge transformation, $\Delta'_{bp} = e^{i\theta} \Delta_{bp}$ and $\Delta'_{sp} = e^{i\theta} \Delta_{sp}$.

- [39] Eduardo Fradkin, Stephen H. Shenker, phys. Rev. D **19**, 3682 (1979).
- [40] O. I. Motrunich and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 277004 (2002); T. Senthil and O. I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 205104 (2002).
- [41] A. Sudbo, E. Smorgrav, J. Smiseth, F. S. Noguira, and J. Hove, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 226403 (2002); J. Smiseth, E. Smorgrav, F. S. Noguira, J. Hove, and A. Sudbo, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 205104 (2003).
- [42] In the presence of the chargeon pair vortex condensation $\langle \Psi_c \rangle = \Psi_c$, there occurs only the Maxwellian action for the gauge field a_μ^ψ without the mass term as is shown in the third term of $\mathcal{L}_D$ in Eq.(11). This is because the Meissner effect does not occur in the presence of the chargeon pair vortex condensation.
- [43] P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky, Principles of Condensed Matter Physics, chapter 5, pp. 240-241, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [44] From a dimensional analysis, the scaling dimensions of field variables are obtained : $[a_\mu^\psi] = 1$, $[A_\mu] = 1$, $[\psi_l] = 1$, $[c_{n\mu}] = 1$, $[\Psi_n] = \frac{1}{2}$ and $[\psi_b] = \frac{1}{2}$. Here we denote the relative scaling dimension of variable X with respect to momentum as $[X]$. We use the unit system where the Fermi velocity and Planck constant are set to be 1, that is, $v_F = \hbar = 1$. From the condition that the action should be dimensionless, one can readily calculate the scaling dimension of coupling constants. The scaling dimensions for all of the coupling constants in $\mathcal{L}_{ir}$ are obtained to be negative. They are $[\frac{1}{\Psi_c^2}] = -1$, $[K_{sp}^{-1}] = -1$, $[K_{bp}^{-1}] = -1$, $[K_p^{-1}] = -1$ and $[\kappa] = -1$.
- [45] Ki-Seok Kim, Jae-Hyeon Eom, Young-Il Seo, and Sung-Ho Suck Salk, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 014504 (2004); We studied the superconducting phase transition at $T = 0$ without consideration of the chargeon pair vortex field. The Cooper pair is shown to be coupled to massless Dirac spinon via internal gauge field in a unitary gauge representation. We showed that the Dirac spinons (fermions) in the spin gap phase does not affect the XY universality class of the superconducting phase transition at $T = 0$ even though the Dirac spinon and the Cooper pair are coupled via the internal U(1) gauge field a_μ . This is because the internal gauge field becomes massive. The mass of the internal gauge field is originated from the Anderson-Higgs mechanism associated with the spinon singlet pairs. On the other hand in the present work, we find that despite the influence of the chargeon pair vortex field the XY universality class remains unchanged in the extreme type II limit owing to the decoupling of the Cooper pair vortex field to the chargeon pair vortex field in the low energy limit.
- [46] J. B. Marston, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1166-1169 (1990).
- [47] V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Nature (London), **374** 434, (1995).

TABLE I: Duality of charges and vortices

	Internal charge or flux quantum	Electromagnetic charge or flux quantum
Cooper pair field $e^{i\phi_p}$	0	$+2e$
Cooper pair vortex field Ψ_n	0	$+\frac{hc}{2e}$
Chargeon pair field $e^{i\phi_c}$	$-4\tilde{e}$	$-2e$
Chargeon pair vortex field Ψ_c	$-\frac{hc}{4\tilde{e}}$	$-\frac{hc}{2e}$

TABLE II: Phases of vortex fields for pseudogap phase and superconducting phase

	Pseudogap phase ($\delta < \delta_c$)	Superconducting phase ($\delta_c < \delta$ (underdoped))
Phase of vortex field	$\langle \Psi_n \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle \Psi_c \rangle \neq 0$	$\langle \Psi_n \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Psi_c \rangle \neq 0$
Physical state	MI, AF	SC, AF